City of Chattanooga

2013 Community Survey Results

October 2013



Stan Sewell, CPA, CGFM, CFE City Auditor

> Meghan Petty, CIA, CGAP Internal Auditor

> > Office of Internal Audit Chattanooga, TN



October 02, 2013

To: Mayor Andy Berke

City Council

City Department Heads Audit Committee Members Regional Planning Agency

RE: City of Chattanooga 2nd Annual Community Survey Results

This report presents the results of our 2nd annual Community Survey. During June and July, we asked Chattanoogans about their views on a variety of City services, and over 2,000 residents responded. In addition to reporting on citywide data, we report survey data specific to each of Chattanooga's nine City Council districts.

Most Chattanoogans we surveyed felt positively about their city and neighborhoods. Chattanoogans believe the city is a good place to live, work, raise a family and retire. Residents were less enthusiastic about the value of services for City taxes paid and the overall direction the City is taking. Most residents we surveyed had not participated in a community project or public meeting during the last 12 months. Overall, resident ratings of most City services were positive, though residents expressed less than favorable ratings of certain City services.

We sent the survey to 10,000 randomly-selected households, and 26 percent responded. We calculated the citywide survey accuracy to be within ± 1.93 percent, while accuracy by City Council district ranged from ± 5.2 to ± 6.75 percent. In comparing the demographic information provided by survey respondents to 2010 Census data, we found that our survey respondents are older and more educated than the population as a whole. We also found that females are over-represented and minorities are under-represented among those who returned our survey.

This report provides the public and policy makers with valuable information regarding resident satisfaction with City services. We encourage the Mayor, City Councilmembers, City department heads, RPA managers, and community leaders to study differences in community perceptions and to consider ways to improve services based on these results.

We want to thank the more than 2,000 Chattanoogans who took the time to complete and return the survey. In addition, we want to thank the Electric Power Board, the City's mail room staff and the City's Geographic Information Systems unit for their assistance with this effort.

Stan Sewell, CPA, CGFM, CFE City Auditor

Table of Contents

Summary	1
Survey Highlights	
Public Safety	3
Public Works and Transportation	
Parks and Recreation Community Development	
Survey Methodology	11
Survey Data	12
City Council District Map	28
2012 Community Survey Form	29

Raw Data (CSV in Microsoft Excel):

www.chattanooga.gov/images/citymedia/internalaudit/2013surveyresultsdata.xls


Detailed Results (in Microsoft Excel):

 $\underline{www.chattanooga.gov/images/citymedia/internal audit/2013 surveyre sults.xls}$

Chattanoogans have opinions about City of Chattanooga services from public safety to community development, parks, water, and streets. These opinions, and changes in these opinions over time, can be studied by city managers and reviewed by elected officials to find areas for improvement, identify programs with high public satisfaction, assess community needs, and assist in making decisions about current and future city services.

The Office of Internal Audit (OIA) conducted a survey of Chattanooga residents to gather their views of city services. This report provides an overview of perspectives expressed by the more than 2,000 residents who responded to our survey as well as results of our survey in detail. We anticipate this report to be of interest to the public, City Council, city managers, and the Regional Planning Agency. We also intend it to be used by residents to track the city's progress in many important areas. As this is the second year of our survey, we are unable to provide trends for these views and are limited to reporting side-by-side comparisons of 2012 and 2013 results. We tested to determine whether changes seen were statistically different, and we found no citywide results were meaningfully different from 2012. Future reports will include analysis of year-over-year trends.

Residents rating Chattanooga as a "very good" or "good" place to...



Overall, most Chattanoogans love their city and neighborhoods, but they gave lower reviews of City government performance and mixed reviews of certain City services.

- Citywide, 88 percent of residents rated Chattanooga as a good or very good place to live. Satisfaction with Chattanooga as a place to work, raise children and retire were slightly lower but overall remained positive.
- Residents were less enthusiastic about the value of services for City taxes paid and the overall direction the City is taking, with 42 percent and 53 percent of respondents rating these aspects as very good or good.
- Overall satisfaction with fire and emergency medical services, police, and 9-1-1 services were positive in 2013. While residents felt safe in their neighborhoods, parks, and downtown during the day, residents reported feeling unsafe in parks and downtown at night. Resident feelings of nighttime safety varied by Council District with residents in Districts 8 and 9 reporting the lowest rates of safety.

- In 2013, 86 percent of residents indicated they had visited a City park, and 68 percent had visited their neighborhood park within the past 12 months. Neighborhood parks were generally rated positively. The highest rate of park visits 26 percent report visiting their park either daily or weekly was in District 2; the lowest rate of park visits was in District 6 at 10 percent.
- The overwhelming majority of residents (82 percent) indicated they did not participate in Parks and Recreation activities within the past 12 months. Of those who did, programs were rated highly.
- Overall, residents rated street lighting and cleanliness of City streets
 positively. City residents were less positive about the smoothness of City
 streets with only 33 percent indicating smoothness was good or very
 good. The highest positive ratings for smoothness were in Districts 2 and
 6, with 39 and 40 percent (respectively) rating smoothness as good or
 very good. The lowest ratings for smoothness were in Districts 5 and 7
 with only 27 percent rating smoothness as good or very good.
- Less than half of residents rated pedestrian and cyclist safety positively.
 Residents were most concerned for cyclists, with 33 percent rating the safety of cyclists as bad or very bad on City streets.
- Residents rated their city and neighborhood positively on livability and reported favorably on new commercial and residential developments in their neighborhoods.
- 79 percent of residents who are business owners indicated Chattanooga is a very good or good place to do business.
- While 61 percent of residents were not involved in a community project or did not attend a public meeting in the last 12 months, residents rated the city's efforts at welcoming citizen involvement as positive (43 percent) or neutral (32 percent).

This report contains highlights of survey results for these City service areas: public safety, public works, transportation, parks and recreation and community development. In addition, we include a section explaining how we conducted the community survey and prepared the report. Complete survey data (including areas not highlighted within the report) begin on page 12

Our analysis and this report represent only a fraction of the insights that may be obtained from the survey data. We have made the data tables available to the public on the City of Chattanooga website (select "Internal Audit" from the Department drop box, or in the address bar of your web browser, enter www.chattanooga.gov/internal-audit) City and community leaders are encouraged to download the tables for analysis using various filters.

2

¹ It should be noted that emergency medical services and 9-1-1 are provided to City residents by Hamilton County. In addition, the following services are provided by third parties on behalf of the City of Chattanooga: bus services (CARTA) and animal control (McKamey Animal Care and Adoption Center).

OVERVIEW

Overall satisfaction with fire and emergency medical services, police, and 9-1-1 services were positive in 2013. While residents felt safe in their neighborhoods, parks, and downtown during the day, residents reported feeling unsafe in parks and downtown at night. Nighttime safety in neighborhoods varied by district but overall was positive.

Overall resident ratings of Public Safety services


(percent very good or good)

	2013	2012
Police	63%	64%
Fire and EMS	90%	87%
9-1-1	87%	81%

ANALYSIS


Satisfaction with public safety services was favorable in 2013. Of those residents who used fire or emergency medical services within the past 12 months, 90 percent felt that the overall quality of service was very good or good. Residents were equally satisfied with speed of responses to their emergency. Also, among residents using 9-1-1 services within the past 12 months, satisfaction was high with the services received from the call-taker.

Ratings of police services varied by City Council District and were lower than that for Fire, EMS, and 9-1-1 services. Citywide, 63 percent of residents felt that the quality of police services was very good or good, and 58 percent of residents rated the conduct of police officers as very good or good. Police response times were rated lowest, with 47 percent of residents rating response times as very good or good.




Rating of safety during day as safe or very safe

(percent very good or good)




Rating of safety at night as safe or very safe

(percent very good or good)



Residents reported feeling safe in their neighborhoods, parks, and downtown during the day. Nighttime safety ratings were lower overall. Citywide, residents felt most unsafe downtown at night. In 2013, 50 percent of residents we surveyed indicated they felt "unsafe" or "very unsafe" walking alone at night downtown, 44 percent felt unsafe in their nearest park, and 31 percent felt unsafe in their neighborhoods.



Feelings of safety at night in neighborhoods varied among Council Districts. The highest rates of perceived nighttime safety were in City Council District 2, at 72 percent; City Council District 8 reported the lowest rates at 25 percent. Perceptions of safety at night correspond directly with resident reports of home and vehicle break-ins.

Public Works and Transportation

OVERVIEW

Resident satisfaction with Public Works services was mixed in 2013. The vast majority of residents rated satisfaction with sanitation services (garbage, yard waste, recycling) as very satisfied or somewhat satisfied. However, only about half of residents rated water quality and waste management services (sewer and storm drainage) positively.

Resident ratings of Public Works services

(percent very satisfied or somewhat satisfied)

	2013	2012
Garbage pick-up	89%	89%
Yard waste pick-up	73%	68%
Curbside recycling	69%	65%
Water quality of lakes and streams	55%	52%
Storm drainage	50%	49%
Sewer	56%	53%

Overall, residents rated street lighting and cleanliness of City streets positively. City residents were less positive about the smoothness of City streets. Traffic flow during non-peak hours was rated favorably by residents, while traffic flow during peak hours appeared to be a concern for residents-particularly in Districts 4, 5, and 9. Less than half of residents rated pedestrian and cyclist safety positively. Residents were most concerned for cyclists, with 33 percent rating the safety of cyclists as bad or very bad on City streets.

Resident ratings of traffic flow

(percent very good or good)


	2013	2012
During peak hours	37%	39%
During off-peak hours	70%	70%

ANALYSIS

Overall satisfaction with Public Works services was positive. Satisfaction with sanitation services was higher than for water quality and waste management services. 89 percent of residents who had an opinion were very satisfied or somewhat satisfied with garbage pick-up, 73 percent were very satisfied or somewhat satisfied with yard waste pick-up, and 69 percent were very satisfied or somewhat satisfied with curbside recycling.

Half of residents were satisfied with storm drainage, and slightly more than half of residents felt positively about the water quality of lakes and streams and the city's sewer system.

Residents rated traffic flow on major streets and thoroughfares during off-peak hours positively in 2013 (70 percent reported very good or good traffic flow). Satisfaction with traffic flow during non-peak hours was significantly lower citywide — at 37 percent positive. Variation existed between Council Districts, with District 8 being most satisfied with traffic flow during peak hours — 44 percent — and Districts 4 and 5 reporting lowest satisfaction at 22 and 31 percent reporting very good or good traffic flow respectively.



While the majority of City residents – 51 percent - rated the cleanliness of City streets favorably, residents rated the smoothness of City streets less favorably. 33 percent of residents indicated the smoothness was very good or good, while 42 percent rated it as bad or very bad.

Resident ratings of street conditions

(percent very good or good)

	2013	2012
Smoothness of City streets	33%	34%
Cleanliness of City streets	51%	51%
Street lighting	62%	62%

In 2013, less than half of residents rated pedestrian and cyclist safety positively. While 39 percent of residents felt the safety of pedestrians was very good or good, 28 percent felt similarly about the safety of bicyclists. Citizens expressed particular concern for the safety of bicyclists with 33 percent rating cyclist safety as bad or very bad on City streets.

OVERVIEW

In 2013, 86 percent of residents indicated they visited a City park, and 68 percent visited their neighborhood park within the past 12 months. Neighborhood parks were generally rated positively. The overwhelming majority of residents (82 percent) indicated they did not participate in Parks and Recreation activities within the past 12 months. Of those who did, the programs were rated highly.


Use of Parks and Recreation services/facilities

(within past 12 months)

	2013	2012
Participated in Parks and Recreation activity	18%	15%
Visited any City park	76%	77%
Visited your neighborhood park	69%	67%

ANALYSIS


Citywide, 17 percent of residents reported visiting a City park, and 15 percent reported visiting their neighborhood park on a daily or weekly basis. Utilization of neighborhood parks varies significantly among the nine Council Districts. The highest rate of regular park visits — 24 percent - was reported by residents in City Council District 2; the lowest, at 10 percent, was reported by residents in City Council District 6. Utilization corresponded with ratings of park quality in these areas.




Of residents who registered an opinion, Chattanoogans rated the quality of park grounds, facilities, and playgrounds near their homes favorably in 2013. 80 percent reported well-maintained landscaping, 76 percent reported well-maintained facilities, and 77 percent reported well-maintained playgrounds.

Resident ratings of neighborhood park qualities

(percent very good or good)



The overwhelming majority of residents (82 percent) indicated they did not participate in a Parks and Recreation activity within the past 12 months. Participation varied by City Council District, with highest participation in District 9 (26 percent) and the lowest participation in Districts 3 and 4 (13 percent). As a result of the low utilization, many indicated they did not know about the affordability, variety and quality of instruction of the City's recreation programs, classes and events held at community centers, pools, or sports facilities. However, residents whose household participated in a city recreation activity had more positive feeling about the affordability, variety, and quality of instruction than those who did not participate. Of those who participated and expressed an opinion, 80 percent indicated affordability of programs as very good or good, 72 percent rated the variety as very good or good, and 71 percent rated the quality of instruction as very good or good.



Economic and Community Development

OVERVIEW

Overall satisfaction with community development was positive in 2013. Residents rated their city and neighborhood positively on livability and reported favorably on new commercial and residential developments in their neighborhoods. Business owners generally indicated Chattanooga is a good place to do business.

Resident ratings of livability

(percent very good or good)

	2013	2012
City livability	88%	87%
Neighborhood livability	80%	80%

ANALYSIS


Citywide, 88 percent of residents felt positively about their city's livability with 80 percent feeling positively about their neighborhood's livability. Citywide, residents felt more positively about access to shopping and services (72 percent) and closeness of parks (62 percent) than their ability to walk to public transit (43 percent), availability of sidewalks (35 percent) or on-street parking (35 percent). Resident feelings about aspects of neighborhood livability varied by Council District:


Neighborhood livability factors

(percent very good or good)

Council District	Close to parks	Close to transit	Access to shopping	Sidewalk availability	On-street parking
1	65%	13%	68%	40%	30%
2	76%	54%	78%	40%	49%
3	65%	19%	85%	20%	28%
4	71%	24%	93%	36%	32%
5	50%	48%	63%	14%	25%
6	54%	45%	89%	26%	33%
7	67%	75%	66%	61%	46%
8	59%	67%	38%	58%	43%
9	46%	64%	49%	39%	33%

Residents rated housing affordability in their neighborhood positively in 2013 (67 percent). 65 percent of residents felt positively about the physical condition of housing in neighborhoods. Ratings of housing condition varied widely by Council District, with Districts 3, 4, and 6 reporting the highest ratings – 76, 77, and 75 percent of residents responding positively – and District 8 reporting the lowest ratings at 47 percent.





In 2013, 30 percent of residents reported new commercial developments in their neighborhoods, and of those, 82 percent felt positively about the attractiveness of the development, and 60 percent indicated the additions were an improvement to their neighborhood as a place to live. Similarly, 24 percent of residents indicated a new residential development had occurred in their neighborhood within the past 12 months. Of those, 78 percent rated

favorably the attractiveness of the development, and 63 percent favorably reported the development as an improvement to their neighborhood.

While 61 percent of residents were not involved in a community project or did not attend a public meeting in the last 12 months, the vast majority of residents rated the City's efforts at welcoming citizen involvement as positive (43 percent) or neutral (32 percent).


79 percent of residents who reported owning a business rated Chattanooga as a good or very good place to do business in 2013.

The Office of Internal Audit's Community Survey was conducted for the second time in June and July 2013. Questions on the survey request residents' views of satisfaction with services the City of Chattanooga provides. The results are intended to inform the public as well as to help City leaders better manage City services.

The survey was mailed to randomly-selected (city resident) addresses, with a letter from the City Auditor explaining the purpose of the survey and how to complete it. Survey responses are anonymous.

Response Rate

In June 2013, we mailed 10,000 introductory postcards and surveys to households representing each of the city's nine City Council Districts. One week after the survey was sent, we sent a reminder postcard. There were 627 introductory postcards returned to us as undeliverable (due to vacant addresses, etc.), leaving a total of 9,373 useable addresses for our response rate calculation. We received 2,479 completed surveys, resulting in a citywide response rate of 26 percent.



Survey Reliability

The citywide survey margin of error, at the conventional 95 percent confidence level, is ± 1.93 percent based on the 2,479 returns. Within each of the nine City Council Districts, the margin of error ranges from ± 5.2 to ± 6.75 percent.

Representativeness of Respondents

We compared demographic information supplied by respondents to 2010 Census data in order to assess how closely our sample matched official census demographics. On a citywide level, our survey respondents are older and more educated than the population as a whole. We found that females are over-represented and minorities are under-represented among our respondents.

Survey Analysis

In conducting this survey, we reviewed data by the City service areas of Public Safety, Public Works, Parks and Recreation, and Community Development. We are unable to provide trend analysis for the opinions expressed in the second year; however, we have provided context by supplying 2012 results alongside 2013 results. In future years, we will report statistically significant changes in citizen perceptions of City services.

In the table of survey results, the number of total respondents to each question is shown in parentheses. Due to rounding, percentages may not add to 100, and City Council district totals may not add to the City total. Figures reported in the text of our report may differ from the table due to exclusion of "Don't Know" responses for certain questions.

Survey Comments

The 2013 Community Survey was sent to a random sample of 10,000 city residents. To help keep respondent identities anonymous and maintain long-term consistency, OIA designed the survey without a specific section for written comments. However, respondents wrote 105 comments on the survey form. The majority of these comments addressed perspectives on overall government, condition and safety of streets, the brush-pick up policy, and specific issues with city services. We provided the detailed comments to City Administration for review.

Residents with comments, concerns, or complaints are encouraged to contact City of Chattanooga departments through 3-1-1. Also, City department contact information can be found on the City of Chattanooga website: www.chattanooga.gov. Alternatively, citizens are welcome to attend and provide comments during City Council meetings on Tuesday evenings.

Audit Standards

The 2013 Community Survey was conducted as a special project initiated by OIA. This was not a performance audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

Supplemental Information

Detailed information follows including percentages for all responses by City Council District (page 14 through 27), City Council District map (page 28), and a copy of the survey form (page 29 through page 31).

2013 Community Survey Data

Number of total respondents by question are in parentheses.

City Co	uncil I	District
---------	---------	----------

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	•	2012 City
Occupation of the second secon		I	1		1	1		1	1	Total	Total
Overall, how do you rate the quality of life in Chattanooga:											
Chattanooga as a place to live											
Very Good	48%	56%	44%	43%	27%	44%	44%	34%	32%	42%	43%
Good	46%	38%	45%	47%	58%	42%	38%	44%	50%	46%	44%
Neutral	5%	4%	8%	6%	12%	11%	12%	17%	13%	9%	10%
Bad	0%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	4%	5%	2%	2%
Very Bad	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Don't Know	-	-	0%	-	0%	0%	1%	1%	-	0%	0%
	(283)	(319)	(336)	(287)	(290)	(271)	(204)	(200)	(231)	(2,421)	(1,237)
Your neighborhood as a place to live											
Very Good	47%	53%	43%	44%	18%	37%	27%	19%	16%	35%	37%
Good	43%	37%	46%	45%	50%	47%	40%	39%	50%	44%	43%
Neutral	9%	5%	9%	6%	21%	12%	20%	22%	19%	13%	13%
Bad	0%	3%	2%	3%	9%	3%	10%	11%	11%	6%	5%
Very Bad	1%	1%	_	0%	1%	_	4%	8%	4%	2%	1%
Don't Know	0%	_	_	0%	_	0%	1%	1%	_	0%	0%
	(276)	(319)	(334)	(289)	(290)	(266)	(200)	(195)	(227)	(2,396)	(1,222)
Chattanooga as a place to work	(=: -)	(525)	(',	(===,	(===,	(===,	(===,	(===,	(,	(_,;;;,	(-,,
Very Good	24%	30%	28%	24%	11%	21%	21%	18%	18%	22%	22%
Good	48%	45%	44%	45%	56%	50%	45%	44%	46%	47%	46%
Neutral	21%	18%	21%	24%	24%	18%	20%	21%	19%	21%	21%
Bad	3%	4%	5%	2%	5%	3%	6%	10%	12%	5%	6%
Very Bad	0%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%
Don't Know	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	6%	7%	3%	2%	4%	3%
Don t know	(270)	(316)	(329)	(285)	(289)	(261)	(197)	(192)	(225)	(2,364)	(1,207)
Chattanooga as a place to raise children	(270)	(310)	(323)	(203)	(203)	(201)	(137)	(132)	(223)	(2,304)	(1,207)
Very Good	28%	34%	31%	28%	15%	26%	22%	18%	20%	25%	27%
Good	47%	44%	42%	42%	48%	43%	39%	42%	43%	44%	38%
Neutral	13%	10%	18%	18%	26%	19%	23%	21%	23%	19%	19%
Bad	3%	2%	3%	3%	7%	4%	4%	11%	7%	5%	6%
Very Bad	1%		0%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%
Don't Know	8%	10%	6%	7%	3%	6%	8%	6%	5%	7%	8%
Don t know	(271)	(318)	(329)	(283)	(291)	(263)	(201)	(192)	(226)	(2,374)	(1,213)
Chattanooga as a place to retire	(2/1)	(310)	(323)	(203)	(231)	(203)	(201)	(132)	(220)	(2,3/4)	(1,213)
Very Good	35%	34%	35%	33%	17%	30%	25%	24%	23%	29%	28%
Good	40%	38%	39%	37%	45%	41%	39%	41%	43%	40%	36%
Neutral	15%	17%	17%	21%	27%	16%	21%	18%	18%	19%	22%
											4%
Bad Vary Bad	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%	6%	6%	4%	
Very Bad	0%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%
Don't Know	6%	8%	5%	5%	5%	7%	9%	8%	7%	7%	9%
	(277)	(320)	(332)	(286)	(291)	(267)	(200)	(193)	(227)	(2,393)	(1,215)

	*			City C	ouncil [District					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 City Total
How safe would you feel walking alone during the day:											
- In your neighborhood?											
Very Safe	55%	56%	51%	51%	22%	43%	27%	17%	17%	40%	42%
Safe	36%	34%	37%	40%	49%	42%	41%	43%	44%	40%	40%
Neutral	6%	7%	8%	5%	16%	8%	9%	20%	20%	11%	9%
Unsafe	2%	2%	2%	2%	10%	5%	16%	14%	13%	7%	7%
Very Unsafe	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	0%	5%	5%	4%	2%	2%
Don't Know	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	(284)	(323)	(339)	(287)	(297)	(274)	(208)	(203)	(230)	(2,445)	(1,246)
- In the park closest to you?											
Very Safe	32%	34%	24%	28%	10%	23%	24%	15%	11%	23%	23%
Safe	43%	41%	44%	49%	43%	34%	38%	36%	37%	41%	40%
Neutral	13%	13%	16%	12%	27%	20%	14%	20%	22%	17%	18%
Unsafe	7%	6%	8%	5%	12%	7%	14%	19%	17%	10%	11%
Very Unsafe	0%	1%	1%	1%	3%	4%	6%	6%	5%	3%	2%
Don't Know	4%	5%	7%	6%	6%	13%	3%	5%	9%	7%	6%
	(275)	(323)	(333)	(286)	(294)	(265)	(205)	(197)	(228)	(2,406)	(1,216)
- Downtown?	` ´	` ′	` ′		` ′	` ′	, ,	` ′	` ´	` ' '	
Very Safe	19%	19%	11%	9%	10%	13%	25%	21%	18%	16%	18%
Safe	40%	43%	36%	38%	42%	42%	42%	41%	48%	41%	42%
Neutral	18%	21%	22%	22%	31%	20%	14%	21%	19%	21%	18%
Unsafe	19%	10%	20%	17%	10%	14%	13%	9%	9%	14%	12%
Very Unsafe	3%	3%	8%	8%	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	4%	5%
Don't Know	1%	3%	4%	6%	5%	8%	3%	6%	5%	4%	5%
Don (Know	(274)	(319)	(334)	(286)	(292)	(266)	(204)	(198)	(229)	(2,402)	(1,219)
3 How safe would you feel walking alone at night:	(2,4)	(313)	(334)	(200)	(232)	(200)	(204)	(130)	(223)	(2,402)	(1,213)
- In your neighborhood?											
Very Safe	29%	30%	27%	26%	6%	15%	9%	7%	5%	18%	20%
Safe	37%	42%	38%	41%	25%	39%	26%	18%	23%	33%	34%
Neutral	15%	11%	13%	14%	19%	21%	14%	17%	16%	15%	16%
Unsafe	13%	13%	16%	12%	36%	16%	29%	35%	33%	22%	18%
Very Unsafe	4%	3%	4%	5%	13%	8%	18%	21%	20%	10%	10%
Don't Know	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%
	(283)	(322)	(338)	(287)	(298)	(270)	(207)	(204)	(231)	(2,440)	(1,247)
- In the park closest to you?	(===,	(,	(,	(===,	(===,	(=: -,	(===,	(== -,	(,	(=,:::,	(-, ,
Very Safe	9%	9%	6%	5%	1%	5%	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%
Safe	24%	24%	18%	21%	14%	16%	21%	15%	9%	18%	20%
Neutral	29%	27%	29%	27%	21%	26%	18%	18%	22%	25%	24%
Unsafe	25%	26%	30%	26%	42%	27%	34%	38%	36%	31%	29%
Very Unsafe	9%	7%	9%	8%	16%	13%	18%	18%	21%	12%	14%
Don't Know	5%	7%	8%	12%	7%	13%	5%	5%	10%	8%	7%
DOIL CKHOW	(278)	(320)	(336)	(285)	(293)	(262)	(204)	(197)	(227)	(2,402)	(1,219)
- Downtown?	(2/0)	(320)	(330)	(203)	(293)	(202)	(204)	(19/)	(22/)	(2,402)	(1,413)
	2%	4%	2%	0%	3%	2%	8%	11%	100/	/10/	4%
Very Safe									10%	4%	
Safe	23%	18%	14%	14%	17%	14%	26%	23%	26%	19%	21%
Neutral	20%	27%	22%	19%	23%	25%	18%	25%	20%	22%	25%
Unsafe	33%	32%	30%	31%	37%	28%	30%	24%	25%	30%	27%
Very Unsafe	20%	15%	27%	30%	14%	23%	10%	11%	15%	19%	18%
Don't Know	3%	4%	5%	6%	7%	8%	8%	6%	4%	5%	5%
	(280)	(322)	(336)	(286)	(293)	(265)	(205)	(197)	(226)	(2,410)	(1,225)

	City Council District								·	•	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 City Total
Did anyone break into, or burglarize, your home during the last 12 months?											
Yes	6%	8%	6%	5%	7%	10%	11%	15%	13%	9%	8%
No	94%	92%	94%	95%	93%	90%	89%	85%	87%	91%	92%
	(285)	(323)	(339)	(289)	(296)	(272)	(209)	(203)	(234)	(2,450)	(1,250)
If yes, was it reported to the police?	(203)	(323)	(333)	(203)	(230)	(2,2)	(203)	(203)	(23.)	(2,430)	(1)130,
Yes	69%	78%	80%	100%	88%	92%	59%	77%	90%	81%	86%
No	31%	22%	20%	_	12%	8%	41%	23%	10%	19%	14%
	(13)	(23)	(20)	(9)	(17)	(24)	(22)	(26)	(29)	(183)	(94)
Did anyone break into, or attempt to break into, any vehicles belonging to your household during the last 12 months?											
Yes	10%	10%	10%	14%	11%	11%	10%	16%	16%	12%	13%
No	90%	90%	90%	86%	89%	89%	90%	84%	84%	88%	87%
16	(281)	(320)	(334)	(288)	(289)	(273)	(204)	(199)	(230)	(2,418)	(1,227)
If yes, was it reported to the police?	C20/	200/	450/	F70/	F20/	460/	220/	C00/	620/	F30/	F70/
Yes	63% 38%	39% 61%	45% 55%	57%	52% 48%	46% 54%	32% 68%	69%	62% 38%	52% 48%	57%
No				43%				31%			43%
6 Did you call 9-1-1 for an emergency during the last 12 months?	(24)	(31)	(33)	(35)	(27)	(26)	(19)	(26)	(37)	(258)	(141)
Yes	16%	19%	17%	21%	21%	16%	25%	25%	27%	20%	20%
No	84%	81%	83%	79%	79%	84%	75%	75%	73%	80%	80%
	(282)	(309)	(334)	(283)	(291)	(261)	(202)	(191)	(222)	(2,375)	(1,213)
If yes, how do you rate the services you received on the the phone from the 9-1-1 calltaker?											
Very Good	53%	56%	47%	41%	43%	51%	35%	40%	31%	44%	43%
Good	40%	37%	35%	48%	46%	31%	45%	47%	54%	43%	38%
Neutral	8%	4%	13%	2%	9%	10%	14%	9%	10%	9%	13%
Bad	-	4%	5%	5%	2%	3%	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%
Very Bad	-	-	-	4%	-	5%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%
7 How do you rate police services on the following:	(40)	(57)	(55)	(56)	(56)	(39)	(49)	(43)	(59)	(454)	(226)
- Overall quality of services?											
Very Good	16%	21%	17%	15%	13%	19%	12%	19%	12%	16%	17%
Good	47%	46%	49%	50%	46%	48%	48%	44%	48%	47%	47%
Neutral	18%	18%	19%	15%	24%	19%	24%	26%	25%	20%	20%
Bad	3%	3%	3%	2%	7%	3%	4%	6%	10%	4%	4%
Very Bad	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Don't Know	15%	11%	11%	17%	6%	11%	10%	4%	4%	10%	11%
	(282)	(316)	(330)	(286)	(284)	(264)	(206)	(197)	(223)	(2,388)	(1,220)
- Conduct of police officers?	'	' '	' '	' '		' '		' '	' '	• • •	
Very Good	15%	22%	18%	17%	13%	18%	15%	19%	11%	17%	18%
Good	43%	37%	43%	42%	41%	43%	37%	41%	42%	41%	40%
Neutral	17%	20%	21%	17%	26%	21%	26%	26%	26%	22%	22%
Bad	6%	5%	4%	5%	9%	4%	7%	7%	11%	6%	5%
Very Bad	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Don't Know	18%	13%	12%	19%	8%	12%	11%	4%	8%	12%	12%
	(279)	(314)	(327)	(285)	(280)	(260)	(203)	(191)	(222)	(2,361)	(1,217)

			-	City C	ouncil E	District	-	-	-	-	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 City Total
- Speed of emergency police response?											
Very Good	10%	15%	13%	11%	10%	15%	14%	16%	13%	13%	14%
Good	33%	34%	34%	36%	43%	31%	33%	36%	29%	34%	31%
Neutral	19%	17%	23%	19%	23%	23%	23%	27%	29%	22%	24%
Bad	5%	4%	4%	4%	6%	3%	9%	7%	10%	5%	4%
Very Bad	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%
Don't Know	32%	29%	25%	29%	13%	26%	21%	11%	14%	23%	25%
	(275)	(312)	(328)	(284)	(277)	(261)	(200)	(192)	(217)	(2,346)	(1,211)
B Did you use fire or emergency medical services during the last 12 months?	,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	,	, ,	, ,		
Yes	12%	13%	8%	14%	14%	12%	13%	11%	14%	12%	13%
No	88%	87%	92%	86%	86%	88%	87%	89%	86%	88%	87%
	(282)	(320)	(332)	(286)	(295)	(268)	(200)	(199)	(226)	(2,408)	(1,234)
If yes, how do you rate the services you received on the following:	,	(,	, , ,	(,	, , ,	,,	,,	-,	(, ==,	
- Overall quality of services?											
Very Good	79%	67%	56%	63%	70%	56%	58%	50%	46%	62%	55%
Good	10%	22%	32%	29%	24%	37%	29%	39%	38%	28%	32%
Neutral	7%	8%	8%	6%	3%	4%	13%	6%	4%	6%	7%
Bad	3%	_	4%	3%	_	_	_	_	12%	2%	3%
Very Bad	_	3%	_	_	3%	_	_	_	_	1%	2%
Don't Know	_	_	_	_	_	4%	_	6%	_	1%	0%
	(29)	(36)	(25)	(35)	(33)	(27)	(24)	(18)	(26)	(253)	(139)
- Speed of emergency response?	(- /	(,	(- /	(,	(,	` '	, ,	(-,	(- /		(,
Very Good	63%	69%	50%	60%	53%	56%	63%	50%	56%	59%	56%
Good	33%	25%	42%	31%	43%	32%	33%	22%	30%	33%	31%
Neutral	_	3%	_	6%	_	4%	4%	11%	7%	4%	6%
Bad	4%	3%	_	3%	_	4%	_	6%	7%	3%	5%
Very Bad	_	_	8%	_	3%	_	_	6%	_	2%	1%
Don't Know	_	_	_	_	_	4%	_	6%	_	1%	1%
	(27)	(36)	(24)	(35)	(30)	(25)	(24)	(18)	(27)	(246)	(137)
9 How do you rate your satisfaction with the following City services:	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	
Garbage Pick-up?											
Very Satisfied	59%	67%	63%	61%	58%	53%	54%	61%	54%	59%	59%
Somewhat Satisfied	26%	25%	25%	24%	30%	28%	25%	23%	30%	26%	26%
Neutral	5%	3%	5%	6%	4%	7%	5%	7%	6%	5%	5%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	4%	10%	3%	4%	4%	4%
Very Dissatisfied	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	2%
Don't Know	6%	1%	4%	6%	1%	7%	6%	5%	3%	4%	4%
	(283)	(323)	(340)	(287)	(296)	(271)	(207)	(202)	(231)	(2,440)	(1,246)
Yard-waste Pick-up?											
Very Satisfied	36%	40%	42%	39%	42%	34%	27%	31%	35%	37%	33%
Somewhat Satisfied	27%	30%	31%	22%	30%	28%	32%	27%	28%	28%	25%
Neutral	13%	10%	10%	12%	9%	14%	13%	19%	15%	12%	14%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	6%	8%	7%	6%	10%	7%	8%	8%	9%	8%	9%
Very Dissatisfied	3%	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	5%
Don't Know	15%	8%	8%	17%	5%	12%	16%	12%	8%	11%	14%
	(278)	(317)	(336)	(284)	(291)	(269)	(204)	(197)	(227)	(2,403)	(1,227)

				City C	ouncil [District					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 City Total
Curbside Recycling?											
Very Satisfied	38%	50%	44%	38%	38%	36%	26%	22%	34%	37%	36%
Somewhat Satisfied	15%	15%	17%	15%	13%	18%	20%	18%	18%	16%	15%
Neutral	18%	11%	15%	13%	21%	18%	19%	18%	18%	17%	17%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	4%	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%	6%	7%	4%	6%
Very Dissatisfied	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	5%	5%	5%	3%	5%
Don't Know	23%	16%	16%	28%	21%	21%	27%	31%	19%	22%	22%
	(271)	(315)	(331)	(280)	(289)	(265)	(197)	(196)	(222)	(2,366)	(1,217)
Water Quality of Lakes and Streams?											
Very Satisfied	20%	19%	21%	12%	14%	19%	12%	16%	16%	17%	15%
Somewhat Satisfied	31%	28%	31%	32%	30%	29%	30%	25%	27%	29%	28%
Neutral	24%	18%	23%	22%	26%	23%	24%	25%	24%	23%	21%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	11%	15%	10%	11%	9%	7%	14%	10%	10%	11%	11%
Very Dissatisfied	3%	4%	3%	5%	1%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	6%
Don't Know	12%	15%	12%	17%	19%	18%	18%	22%	18%	16%	19%
	(276)	(319)	(337)	(283)	(288)	(268)	(200)	(186)	(219)	(2,376)	(1,218)
Storm Drainage?											
Very Satisfied	20%	20%	21%	14%	14%	18%	17%	13%	13%	17%	17%
Somewhat Satisfied	26%	28%	30%	28%	25%	29%	25%	29%	29%	28%	26%
Neutral	22%	21%	18%	19%	24%	21%	22%	24%	23%	21%	22%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	15%	14%	13%	16%	13%	12%	17%	15%	13%	14%	13%
Very Dissatisfied	8%	6%	9%	12%	12%	9%	8%	4%	10%	9%	10%
Don't Know	9%	10%	9%	11%	12%	11%	12%	15%	11%	11%	12%
	(282)	(318)	(335)	(285)	(291)	(266)	(203)	(192)	(223)	(2,395)	(1,227)
Sewers?	(- /	(,	(,	(== ,	(- ,	(,	,	(- ,	(- /	()	, , ,
Very Satisfied	26%	24%	28%	22%	19%	22%	17%	12%	16%	21%	21%
Somewhat Satisfied	24%	27%	27%	30%	26%	31%	26%	30%	28%	27%	25%
Neutral	23%	21%	21%	20%	25%	18%	27%	26%	25%	23%	24%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	8%	8%	8%	11%	11%	9%	12%	11%	10%	10%	9%
Very Dissatisfied	6%	9%	7%	3%	8%	7%	7%	7%	9%	7%	8%
Don't Know	13%	11%	9%	15%	12%	13%	11%	14%	12%	12%	13%
	(281)	(317)	(333)	(283)	(292)	(267)	(203)	(193)	(227)	(2,396)	(1,225)
10 In the past 12 months, how many times did you:		,	(,		,	, ,	(,		,	()===,	() - /
- Visit any city park?											
Daily	3%	4%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%
Weekly	18%	22%	10%	10%	10%	12%	20%	11%	15%	14%	16%
Monthly	14%	19%	17%	12%	10%	18%	17%	15%	13%	15%	16%
A Few Times	42%	39%	43%	54%	49%	45%	38%	40%	46%	44%	44%
Never	21%	16%	25%	20%	26%	22%	20%	27%	21%	22%	22%
Don't Know	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	4%	3%	2%	1%
	(285)	(325)	(336)	(289)	(296)	(278)	(208)	(197)	(229)	(2,443)	(1,245)
- Visit a city park near your home?	(200)	(===)	(200)	(=00)	(=55)	\	(=00)	(=5.7	\	(=, : ,	\=,=,=,=,
Daily	3%	5%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	5%	2%	3%	3%
Weekly	16%	19%	11%	10%	9%	8%	19%	10%	8%	12%	15%
Monthly	12%	16%	13%	12%	8%	14%	13%	11%	12%	12%	12%
A Few Times	39%	40%	45%	51%	40%	39%	35%	38%	33%	41%	38%
Never	26%	20%	27%	24%	40%	34%	27%	33%	41%	30%	31%
Don't Know	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%
DOIL CRITOW	(280)	(318)	(335)	(284)	(285)	(271)	(197)	(188)	(224)	(2,382)	(1,218)

				City C	ouncil [District					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 City Total
How do you rate the quality of the parks near your home in the the following categories:											
- Well-maintained landscaping?											
Very Good	32%	38%	33%	28%	16%	24%	27%	26%	15%	27%	27%
Good	43%	45%	45%	47%	38%	34%	41%	42%	43%		42%
Neutral	12%	7%	10%	10%	23%	17%	19%	18%	20%	15%	14%
Bad	0%	1%	1%	_	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%
Very Bad	_	_	_	_	1%	_	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Don't Know	13%	10%	11%	15%	19%	24%	7%	9%	17%	14%	13%
	(285)	(324)	(331)	(287)	(289)	(277)	(207)	(194)	(226)	(2.420)	(1,217)
- Well-maintained facilities?	,	,	(,	(- ,	(,	` ′	' '	(- ,	` - '	() - ,	` ' '
Very Good	24%	30%	26%	24%	12%	19%	21%	24%	14%	22%	23%
Good	43%	46%	46%	44%	36%	36%	43%	38%	39%		40%
Neutral	16%	11%	13%	14%	24%	18%	18%	19%	25%		17%
Bad	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	5%	2%	1%		3%
Very Bad					1%		2%	3%	2%		1%
Don't Know	15%	12%	13%	17%	23%	25%	10%	14%	18%		17%
2011 (1111011	(280)	(322)	(330)	(286)	(283)	(271)	(203)	(188)	(224)		(1,205)
- Well-maintained playgrounds?	(===,	(,	(,	(===,	(===,	(=: =,	(===,	(===,	(',	(=,===,	(=,===,
Very Good	25%	30%	26%	25%	13%	20%	22%	26%	15%	23%	22%
Good	41%	41%	40%	40%	33%	29%	41%	39%	37%		36%
Neutral	14%	10%	13%	14%	24%	20%	17%	18%	20%		18%
Bad	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	4%	42% 15% 2% 14% 15% 2% 14% 14% (26) (2,420) 16% 24% 17% 24% 17% 24% 17% 24% 17% 24% 17% 24% 18% 16% 241 (2,387) 16% 248 18% 248 16% 249 17% 249 249 249 249 249 249 249 249 249 249	2%
Very Bad	_	_		_	1%	0%	3%	3%	1%		1%
Don't Know	20%	18%	21%	20%	26%	29%	15%	13%	23%		22%
Bon Cition	(283)	(320)	(325)	(286)	(282)	(269)	(201)	(189)	(226)		(1,212)
In the past 12 months, did anyone in your household participate in a Chattanooga Parks and Recreation activity?			, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	,	, ,	, ,		
Yes	17%	20%	13%	13%	23%	14%	22%	22%	26%	18%	15%
No	83%	80%	87%	87%	77%	86%	78%	78%	74%	82%	85%
	(271)	(311)	(318)	(276)	(284)	(263)	(195)	(193)	(228)	(2,339)	(1,194)
How satisfied are you with the City's recreation programs, classes and events held at community centers, pools, or sports facilities:											
- Affordability?											
Very Satisfied	6%	12%	7%	5%	12%	6%	14%	12%	13%	9%	7%
Satisfied	17%	17%	15%	19%	24%	15%	21%	20%	22%	19%	17%
Neutral	14%	16%	17%	19%	22%	22%	18%	21%	16%	18%	19%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	1%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%
Very Dissatisfied	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%
Don't Know	61%	52%	59%	55%	37%	54%	42%	43%	46%	51%	53%
	(279)	(312)	(327)	(274)	(283)	(271)	(204)	(196)	(223)	(2,369)	(1,200)
- Variety?											_
Very Satisfied	4%	10%	6%	3%	10%	4%	11%	9%	11%	7%	5%
Satisfied	17%	18%	14%	19%	22%	12%	17%	17%	21%	17%	17%
Neutral	18%	18%	19%	19%	23%	24%	20%	23%	16%	20%	21%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%	4%	6%	5%	3%	3%	3%
Very Dissatisfied	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	4%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Don't Know	59%	53%	60%	56%	40%	54%	42%	45%	47%	51%	53%
	(277)	(307)	(325)	(272)	(278)	(267)	(199)	(187)	(219)	(2,331)	(1,181)

-	Quality of instruction, coaching, leadership, etc?	1	2								2042 61	
-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	2012 City Total
	Vary Caticfied											
	Very Satisfied	4%	8%	5%	3%	8%	4%	11%	9%	12%	7%	5%
	Satisfied	14%	15%	11%	15%	20%	12%	13%	18%	17%	15%	14%
	Neutral	18%	19%	21%	18%	25%	22%	22%	22%	18%	20%	22%
	Somewhat Dissatisfied	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	5%	4%	5%	3%	2%
	Very Dissatisfied	1%	-	_	_	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	1%
	Don't Know	63%	57%	61%	62%	42%	59%	48%	46%	48%	55%	56%
14	ow do you rate traffic flow (congestion) on major reets and thoroughfares, excluding freeways:	(274)	(308)	(324)	(273)	(280)	(265)	(200)	(188)	(221)	(2,333)	(1,184)
-	During peak hours, that is 7-9am and 3:30-6pm?											
	Very Good	3%	5%	4%	2%	1%	2%	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%
	Good	39%	38%	34%	20%	30%	35%	37%	39%	30%	33%	35%
	Neutral	24%	26%	25%	22%	27%	22%	23%	24%	30%	25%	24%
	Bad	23%	22%	25%	38%	31%	30%	22%	21%	26%	27%	24%
	Very Bad	9%	6%	8%	16%	8%	9%	9%	8%	7%	9%	10%
	Don't Know	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%
		(282)	(325)	(335)	(287)	(294)	(276)	(206)	(200)	(231)	(2.436)	(1,233)
-	During off-peak traffic hours?	, ,	` ′	` ′	` ′	` ′	` ′	, ,	, ,	, ,	` ' '	` ' '
	Very Good	23%	28%	24%	15%	17%	21%	24%	17%	15%	21%	22%
	Good	57%	50%	49%	49%	46%	53%	41%	45%	52%	49%	48%
	Neutral	12%	13%	17%	20%	24%	13%	22%	22%	18%		18%
	Bad	6%	5%	7%	12%	7%	9%	8%	10%	10%		7%
	Very Bad	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%		2%
	Don't Know	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	3%	5%	4%		3%
		(281)	(323)	(334)	(284)	(294)	(271)	(205)	(195)	(228)		(1,221)
4E Ho	ow do you rate City streets on:	(- /	(,	(,	` - ,	, ,	, ,	(/	(/	(- /	() - /	` , ,
15	Smoothness?											
	Very Good	5%	5%	3%	2%	1%	3%	4%	6%	3%	3%	3%
	Good	30%	34%	28%	29%	26%	37%	23%	31%	30%		31%
	Neutral	24%	25%	21%	27%	25%	24%	26%	23%	21%		21%
	Bad	27%	29%	34%	33%	33%	26%	32%	28%	31%		32%
	Very Bad	13%	7%	14%	9%	13%	9%	15%	12%	16%		13%
	Don't Know	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	-	2%	0%		1%
	20.1 (1.110.1)	(281)	(325)	(335)	(290)	(297)	(276)	(205)	(199)	(229)		(1,233)
_	Cleanliness?	(202)	(525)	(555)	(230)	(237)	(=, 0,	(200)	(233)	(==5)	(_,,	(=,===,
	Very Good	7%	8%	6%	5%	4%	8%	5%	8%	4%	6%	5%
	Good	49%	51%	47%	51%	38%	45%	38%	37%	41%		46%
	Neutral	25%	26%	32%	24%	35%	31%	39%	29%	28%		28%
	Bad	13%	9%	11%	15%	17%	14%	14%	18%	20%		15%
	Very Bad	4%	5%	3%	5%	5%	2%	4%	8%	6%		5%
	Don't Know	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	-	2%	0%		1%
	Son Childw	(283)	(325)	(335)	(288)	(298)	(274)	(204)	(199)	(229)		(1,229)
_	Speeding vehicles?	(200)	(323)	(333)	\200)	(230)	(2/4)	(204)	(133)	(223)	(2,433)	(1,223)
_	Very Good	4%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	5%	2%	3%	2%
	Good	26%	25%	24%	29%	19%	27%	21%	24%	20%		25%
	Neutral	28%	31%	27%	30%	30%	26%	31%	26%	31%		28%
	Bad	30%	28%	31%	27%	30%	30%	27%	28%		30%	
	Very Bad	10%	11%	13%	12%	18%	12%	15%	16%	16%		12%
	very Bad Don't Know	10%	2%	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	2%	16%	33% 25% 27% 9% 3% (2,436) 21% 49% 18% 8% 2% 2% (2,415) 3% 30% 24% 30% 12% 1% (2,437) 6% 45% 30% 14% 4% 1% (2,435) 3% 24% 29% 29% 13% 2%	2%
	DOIL (KIIOW	(281)	(323)	(333)	(289)	(293)	(274)	(207)	(197)	(231)		(1,229)

				City C	ouncil [District					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 City Total
- Safety of pedestrians?											
Very Good	6%	5%	4%	3%	2%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%
Good	40%	39%	33%	35%	32%	36%	29%	38%	31%	35%	36%
Neutral	30%	27%	33%	33%	33%	27%	36%	27%	30%	31%	31%
Bad	18%	19%	19%	17%	20%	21%	18%	22%	25%	19%	18%
Very Bad	4%	8%	8%	7%	8%	8%	10%	8%	7%	7%	8%
Don't Know	1%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
	(280)	(324)	(335)	(288)	(293)	(273)	(206)	(200)	(230)	(2,429)	(1,230)
- Safety of bicyclists?											
Very Good	5%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%
Good	26%	22%	22%	22%	24%	30%	25%	28%	23%	25%	25%
Neutral	30%	27%	29%	37%	33%	28%	32%	32%	30%	31%	30%
Bad	22%	29%	24%	21%	22%	22%	22%	19%	22%	23%	23%
Very Bad	10%	12%	13%	9%	9%	11%	11%	9%	12%	11%	12%
Don't Know	7%	7%	9%	8%	9%	6%	6%	7%	9%	8%	8%
Bon Cillow	(282)	(323)	(336)	(289)	(296)	(271)	(205)	(199)	(230)	(2,431)	(1,229)
Has a new commercial development been completed in or near your neighborhood in the last 12 months?	(202)	(323)	(330)	(203)	(230)	(271)	(203)	(133)	(230)	(2,431)	(1,223)
Yes	23%	29%	21%	61%	24%	36%	30%	24%	21%	30%	29%
No	77%	71%	79%	39%	76%	64%	70%	76%	79%	70%	71%
	(281)	(319)	(326)	(286)	(288)	(274)	(201)	(192)	(233)	(2,400)	(1,217)
If yes, how do you rate it on the following:	` ′	` ′	` ′	` ′	` ′	` ′	` ′	` ′	` ′	, ,	` ' '
- Attractiveness?											
Very Good	25%	32%	20%	33%	29%	38%	59%	27%	13%	32%	27%
Good	54%	48%	51%	50%	62%	47%	32%	45%	60%	50%	50%
Neutral	16%	11%	17%	11%	8%	11%	3%	18%	21%	12%	15%
Bad	2%	4%	8%	3%	_	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	4%
Very Bad	3%	4%	5%	2%	_	1%	_	5%	2%	2%	2%
Don't Know	3/6	4/0	-	1%	2%	-	3%	2%	270	1%	1%
Don't know	(63)								(47)		
	` ′	(91)	(65)	(174)	(65)	(100)	(59)	(44)	(47)	(708)	(342)
- Improvement to your neighborhood as a place to live?											
Very Good	20%	28%	18%	17%	23%	16%	58%	26%	11%	23%	21%
Good	46%	43%	36%	32%	51%	34%	23%	40%	34%	37%	36%
Neutral	20%	20%	26%	36%	20%	31%	11%	19%	32%	26%	27%
Bad	5%	3%	11%	6%	-	14%	5%	7%	11%	7%	8%
Very Bad	7%	4%	9%	2%	3%	4%	-	7%	9%	4%	6%
Don't Know	2%	1%	-	6%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%
	(59)	(89)	(66)	(173)	(65)	(96)	(57)	(43)	(44)	(692)	(332)
Has a new residential development been completed in or near your neighborhood in the last 12 months?											
•	270/	200/	100/	2/10/	90/	110/	260/	260/	150/	2/10/	270/
Yes	37%	38%	18%	34%	8%	11%	26%	26%	15%	24%	27%
No	63%	62%	82%	66%	92%	89%	74%	74%	85%	76%	73%

	-	-	-	City C	ouncil [District	-	-	-	-	-
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 Cit Total
If yes, how do you rate it on the following:											
- Attractiveness?											
Very Good	44%	27%	33%	26%	29%	24%	46%	37%	33%	34%	36%
Good	46%	31%	40%	53%	59%	48%	46%	49%	45%	44%	40%
Neutral	6%	22%	13%	15%	12%	14%	2%	6%	18%	13%	15%
Bad	1%	8%	11%	4%	-	3%	2%	2%	3%	5%	4%
Very Bad	3%	10%	2%	-	-	3%	-	4%	-	3%	3%
Don't Know	-	1%	2%	2%	-	7%	4%	2%	-	2%	2%
	(102)	(118)	(55)	(95)	(17)	(29)	(50)	(49)	(33)	(548)	(326)
- Improvement to your neighborhood as a place to live?											
Very Good	34%	30%	27%	17%	25%	22%	43%	32%	26%	29%	31%
Good	36%	21%	31%	43%	44%	37%	32%	45%	35%	34%	28%
Neutral	22%	24%	25%	25%	25%	30%	17%	11%	29%	23%	23%
Bad	5%	12%	7%	8%	_	_	6%	2%	3%	7%	9%
Very Bad	3%	11%	7%	5%	_	4%	_	6%	3%	6%	6%
Don't Know	1%	2%	2%	2%	6%	7%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%
Don't know	(101)	(117)	(55)	(93)	(16)	(27)	(47)	(47)	(31)	(534)	(321)
How do you rate your neighborhood on:	(101)	(11)	(33)	(33)	(10)	(2),	(,, ,	(., ,	(31)	(33-1)	(321)
- Housing affordability?											
Very Good	15%	13%	20%	15%	12%	15%	13%	14%	10%	14%	17%
,				58%	53%						
Good	54%	50%	53%			59%	47%	46%	54%	53%	50% 19%
Neutral	21%	23%	21%	17%	20%	14%	25%	24%	19%	20%	
Bad	3%	9%	3%	6%	6%	7%	8%	7%	7%	6%	7%
Very Bad	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%
Don't Know	6% (284)	(322)	(333)	(288)	7% (285)	4% (274)	5% (210)	5% (194)	7% (228)	4% (2,418)	5% (1,221
- Physical condition of housing?	(204)	(322)	(333)	(200)	(203)	(2/4)	(210)	(134)	(220)	(2,410)	(1,22
Very Good	21%	18%	23%	22%	7%	17%	15%	9%	8%	16%	17%
Good	48%	53%	53%	55%	46%	58%	36%	39%	42%	49%	49%
Neutral	22%	23%	20%	16%	30%	20%	26%	21%	27%	23%	21%
Bad	4%	5%	3%	5%	14%	2%	15%	22%	15%	9%	9%
	1%		0%	1%	2%	1%	4%	7%		2%	3%
Very Bad		1%							6%		
Don't Know	3% (282)	(320)	(337)	(289)	1% (290)	1% (275)	3% (208)	2% (196)	2% (227)	2% (2,424)	1% (1,223
- Closeness of parks or open spaces?	(202)	(320)	(337)	(203)	(230)	(2,3)	(200)	(130)	(227)	(2)-12-1	(1)
Very Good	15%	31%	20%	19%	9%	13%	20%	12%	8%	17%	19%
Good	50%	46%	45%	52%	41%	41%	48%	47%	38%	45%	42%
Neutral	21%	16%	26%	20%	29%	28%	18%	24%	27%	23%	22%
Bad	6%	5%	5%	3%	11%	10%	9%	11%	15%	8%	10%
Very Bad	3%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	4%	5%	2%	2%
Don't Know	5%	2%	4%	4%	6%	7%	5%	3%	6%	5%	5%
	(283)	(320)	(331)	(284)	(285)	(274)	(206)	(197)	(229)	(2,409)	(1,223
- Walking distance to public transit?											
Very Good	3%	22%	4%	6%	9%	14%	34%	22%	21%	14%	15%
Good	10%	31%	14%	18%	39%	30%	41%	45%	43%	29%	30%
Neutral	16%	22%	20%	18%	24%	22%	11%	20%	13%	19%	16%
Bad	24%	12%	22%	25%	16%	12%	4%	5%	13%	16%	16%
Very Bad	27%	4%	22%	13%	7%	4%	1%	3%	4%	10%	11%
Don't Know	20%	8%	17%	20%	6%	16%	9%	5%	6%	12%	12%
	(283)	(323)	(329)	(288)	(289)	(273)	(209)	(197)	(228)	(2,419)	(1,223

	•	-	•	City C	ouncil [District		•		-	•
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 Cit
- Access to shopping and other services?											
Very Good	21%	32%	38%	52%	15%	45%	21%	6%	11%	28%	28%
Good	48%	46%	47%	41%	48%	45%	45%	32%	38%	44%	42%
Neutral	16%	15%	10%	5%	22%	7%	17%	27%	20%	15%	15%
Bad	8%	6%	4%	1%	10%	3%	11%	22%	21%	9%	9%
Very Bad	6%	0%	1%	0%	4%	-	2%	11%	8%	3%	4%
Don't Know	1% (282)	1% (323)	0% (335)	1% (287)	1% (291)	1% (276)	3% (206)	2% (196)	3% (228)	1% (2,424)	2% (1,226
- On-street parking?											
Very Good	6%	16%	8%	8%	3%	8%	13%	6%	7%	8%	10%
Good	24%	34%	20%	25%	22%	24%	33%	37%	27%	27%	26%
Neutral	30%	22%	36%	28%	37%	31%	26%	23%	25%	29%	29%
Bad	20%	17%	16%	17%	24%	16%	20%	21%	23%	19%	19%
Very Bad	11%	7%	8%	10%	9%	7%	5%	9%	14%	9%	8%
Don't Know	11%	4%	11%	13%	5%	13%	3%	4%	5%	8%	8%
	(276)	(322)	(329)	(285)	(287)	(271)	(205)	(198)	(226)	(2,399)	(1,212
- Street lighting?											
Very Good	12%	21%	14%	13%	7%	15%	16%	10%	10%	13%	14%
Good	48%	50%	44%	43%	48%	55%	50%	47%	54%	49%	48%
Neutral	22%	16%	22%	19%	19%	15%	16%	21%	18%	19%	19%
Bad	10%	10%	11%	16%	17%	10%	13%	13%	10%	12%	12%
Very Bad	5%	3%	6%	7%	7%	5%	3%	10%	7%	6%	4%
Don't Know	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%
	(281)	(323)	(331)	(289)	(292)	(273)	(208)	(197)	(233)	(2,427)	(1,231
- Availability of sidewalks?	(===,	(525)	(332,	(===,	(===,	(=: -,	(====,	(===,	(===,	(=, :=: ,	(3,20
Very Good	16%	18%	9%	16%	2%	5%	23%	18%	8%	12%	13%
Good	23%	22%	12%	20%	12%	21%	37%	41%	31%	23%	24%
Neutral	15%	15%	19%	15%	23%	22%	13%	22%	16%	18%	16%
Bad	17%	20%	24%	21%	31%	24%	14%	10%	22%	21%	21%
Very Bad	25%	23%	34%	25%	28%	23%	10%	10%	21%	23%	23%
Don't Know	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	4%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%
25.1 t Kilow	(281)	(325)	(329)	(289)	(294)	(272)	(209)	(200)	(232)	(2,431)	(1,224
How do you rate Chattanooga as a place to do business?											
Very Good	15%	19%	20%	17%	9%	19%	15%	16%	13%	16%	15%
Good	56%	48%	46%	49%	47%	54%	47%	40%	45%	48%	46%
Neutral	13%	17%	21%	17%	27%	14%	19%	26%	25%	20%	21%
Bad	2%	2%	3%	2%	5%	1%	4%	5%	5%	3%	4%
Very Bad	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Don't Know	14%	13%	10%	14%	12%	12%	15%	12%	10%	12%	12%
	(279)	(326)	(338)	(288)	(296)	(279)	(209)	(201)	(231)	(2,447)	(1,240
Do you own a business in Chattanooga?											
Yes	11%	17%	13%	13%	9%	9%	11%	9%	15%	12%	12%
No	89%	83%	87%	87%	91%	91%	89%	91%	85%	88%	88%
	(258)	(295)	(305)	(269)	(269)	(254)	(186)	(178)	(213)	(2,227)	(1,215

	•	-		City C	ouncil [District	-		-	-	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 City Total
If yes, how many employees does your business employ?											
Self	46%	41%	31%	45%	40%	45%	47%	50%	50%	43%	51%
1	8%	2%	11%	6%	25%	5%	13%	-	12%	9%	7%
2 to 10	38%	30%	46%	32%	35%	45%	20%	38%	23%	34%	29%
11 to 50	4%	20%	9%	10%	-	5%	20%	-	12%	10%	8%
51 to 150	-	5%	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	4%	2%	3%
151+	4% (24)	2% (44)	(35)	3% (31)	(20)	(20)	- (15)	13%	(26)	2% (223)	3% (118)
In the last 12 months, about how many times, if ever, have you or other household members participated in the following activities in Chattanooga:			, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,			, ,
- Called 3-1-1 about public services											
Never	38%	24%	30%	34%	17%	33%	23%	22%	17%	27%	29%
Once or Twice	31%	36%	33%	35%	38%	32%	43%	44%	46%	37%	36%
3 to 5 Times	19%	30%	26%	24%	34%	24%	26%	22%	25%	26%	25%
6 to 10 Times	10%	8%	8%	6%	9%	8%	3%	6%	7%	7%	9%
More than 10 Times	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	2%
	(281)	(326)	(334)	(286)	(297)	(276)	(204)	(198)	(232)	(2,434)	(1,225)
- Ridden a local bus (CARTA)											
Never	87%	84%	88%	91%	81%	85%	59%	53%	69%	79%	81%
Once or Twice	9%	11%	8%	6%	11%	7%	19%	18%	14%	11%	9%
3 to 5 Times	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	8%	7%	4%	3%	3%
6 to 10 Times	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	2%	3%	8%	2%	2%	2%
More than 10 Times	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	4%	11%	15%	12%	5%	5%
	(281)	(324)	(333)	(283)	(293)	(275)	(203)	(200)	(232)	(2,424)	(1,223)
- Visited a Chattanooga Public Library branch											
Never	56%	44%	50%	63%	45%	59%	43%	37%	36%	49%	48%
Once or Twice	25%	28%	25%	20%	33%	21%	25%	30%	34%	27%	27%
3 to 5 Times	10%	12%	10%	10%	11%	9%	12%	16%	13%	11%	12%
6 to 10 Times	3%	8%	6%	4%	5%	5%	8%	8%	4%	6%	6%
More than 10 Times	6%	8%	9%	3%	6%	6%	11%	9%	13%	8%	8%
	(285)	(323)	(338)	(286)	(290)	(275)	(201)	(196)	(231)	(2,425)	(1,230
- Attended an event at Memorial Auditorium or Tivoli											
Never	48%	40%	43%	45%	39%	44%	37%	33%	35%	41%	N/A
Once or Twice	40%	42%	37%	40%	41%	44%	46%	46%	46%	42%	N/A
3 to 5 Times	10%	14%	15%	13%	15%	8%	13%	16%	16%	13%	N/A
6 to 10 Times	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	N/A
More than 10 Times	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	N/A
	(284)	(324)	(336)	(285)	(291)	(275)	(202)	(194)	(231)	(2,422)	N/A
- Used/visited McKamey Animal Center											
Never	72%	67%	66%	75%	73%	76%	74%	75%	75%	72%	75%
Once or Twice	21%	27%	26%	23%	24%	21%	18%	22%	22%	23%	20%
3 to 5 Times	4%	4%	7%	2%	3%	2%	6%	3%	3%	4%	4%
6 to 10 Times	1%	1%	1%	0%	_	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
More than 10 Times	2%	1%	1%	_	_	0%	1%	_	_	1%	1%
	(284)	(321)	(338)	(284)	(293)	(272)	(202)	(195)	(228)	(2,417)	(1,227)

		-		City C	ouncil [District	-				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 City Total
- Visited the Chattanooga.gov website											
Never	48%	40%	44%	44%	49%	47%	44%	57%	52%	47%	50%
Once or Twice	27%	29%	28%	25%	27%	24%	28%	18%	27%	26%	25%
3 to 5 Times	15%	19%	13%	19%	16%	18%	16%	13%	9%	16%	15%
6 to 10 Times	4%	8%	10%	7%	5%	5%	6%	7%	7%	7%	6%
More than 10 Times	6%	4%	4%	6%	3%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	4%
	(233)	(268)	(259)	(236)	(241)	(233)	(158)	(159)	(180)	(1,967)	(1,010)
- Been involved in a community project or attended a											
Never	63%	64%	65%	66%	59%	66%	54%	52%	51%	61%	63%
Once or Twice	27%	22%	25%	23%	27%	26%	26%	31%	28%	26%	24%
3 to 5 Times	5%	8%	4%	6%	9%	4%	9%	9%	15%	7%	8%
6 to 10 Times	1%	3%	5%	1%	3%	2%	6%	3%	4%	3%	3%
More than 10 Times	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	1%	6%	5%	3%	3%	2%
	(275)	(319)	(329)	(275)	(281)	(263)	(194)	(191)	(220)	(2,347)	(1,162)
Overall, how do you rate the quality of each of the following services:											
- 3-1-1											
Very Good	26%	31%	28%	24%	29%	24%	30%	30%	26%	28%	26%
Good	34%	35%	33%	37%	44%	37%	38%	39%	44%	38%	35%
Neutral	14%	14%	16%	10%	11%	16%	13%	13%	16%	14%	14%
Bad	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%
Very Bad		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Don't Know	24%	15%	18%	25%	11%	18%	16%	14%	10%	17%	18%
DOIT CHILOW	(282)	(321)	(334)	(285)	(293)	(268)	(203)	(196)	(225)	(2,407)	(1,212)
- Bus services (CARTA)	(202)	(321)	(55.)	(200)	(233)	(200)	(200)	(130)	(223)	(_,,	(-),
Very Good	4%	8%	3%	4%	10%	7%	19%	26%	19%	10%	8%
Good	17%	18%	13%	12%	24%	16%	27%	32%	28%	20%	20%
Neutral	19%	20%	23%	23%	22%	26%	18%	17%	17%	21%	18%
Bad	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Very Bad	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	_	1%	0%	1%	1%
Don't Know	55%	52%	60%	58%	41%	50%	32%	22%	35%	47%	49%
	(279)	(316)	(325)	(281)	(292)	(270)	(207)	(192)	(224)	(2,386)	(1,222)
- Experience at Memorial Auditorium and/or Tivoli	` -,	` -′	` - '	`	`	` -'	` '	` '	` ′		` '
Very Good	20%	23%	19%	17%	17%	17%	23%	19%	14%	19%	N/A
Good	32%	33%	36%	36%	42%	38%	35%	39%	48%	37%	N/A
Neutral	18%	15%	14%	15%	15%	15%	15%	16%	15%	15%	N/A
Bad	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	N/A
Very Bad	1%	0%	_	_	0%	_	_	1%	_	0%	N/A
, Don't Know	29%	28%	29%	31%	25%	30%	26%	24%	22%	27%	N/A
	(280)	(313)	(329)	(284)	(287)	(270)	(199)	(197)	(218)	(2,377)	N/A
- Animal control (McKamey)	' '	` ′	' '	` ′	` ′	` ′	` ′	' '		' '	-
Very Good	11%	13%	11%	7%	12%	9%	9%	8%	6%	10%	7%
Good	18%	24%	23%	16%	17%	21%	19%	21%	22%	20%	20%
Neutral	21%	20%	19%	21%	25%	20%	23%	18%	24%	21%	24%
Bad	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%
Very Bad	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%
Don't Know	46%	38%	43%	52%	39%	46%	45%	45%	41%	44%	43%
	(279)	(310)	(332)	(283)	(284)	(268)	(198)	(194)	(222)	(2,370)	(1,208)

	*			City C	ouncil [District			-		•
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 City Total
- Public libraries											
Very Good	15%	18%	17%	10%	19%	17%	23%	22%	19%	17%	15%
Good	33%	34%	36%	27%	39%	28%	35%	38%	41%	34%	35%
Neutral	16%	20%	17%	19%	16%	19%	10%	17%	17%	17%	19%
Bad	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Very Bad	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	1%
Don't Know	34%	23%	29%	42%	24%	33%	29%	23%	22%	29%	28%
	(282)	(319)	(332)	(284)	(289)	(273)	(205)	(195)	(223)	(2,402)	(1,218)
- Chattanooga.gov Website											
Very Good	10%	10%	13%	8%	13%	12%	13%	11%	12%	11%	10%
Good	31%	35%	33%	36%	31%	32%	43%	29%	27%	33%	31%
Neutral	25%	26%	20%	21%	24%	22%	13%	23%	26%	23%	26%
Bad	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	4%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%
Very Bad	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	-	1%	1%	-	0%	0%
Don't Know	33%	25%	32%	33%	31%	30%	29%	35%	34%	31%	31%
Overall, how do you rate following aspects of City	(280)	(314)	(327)	(283)	(284)	(269)	(198)	(189)	(218)	(2,362)	(1,215)
government performance:											
- Value of services for City taxes paid											
Very Good	5%	10%	7%	5%	5%	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%	5%
Good	39%	37%	35%	37%	35%	34%	36%	31%	31%	35%	35%
Neutral	32%	32%	31%	30%	33%	33%	32%	33%	33%	32%	30%
Bad	12%	12%	18%	15%	14%	14%	13%	9%	15%	14%	16%
Very Bad	7%	2%	4%	6%	6%	3%	4%	6%	4%	5%	6%
Don't Know	5%	6%	5%	8%	8%	9%	7%	13%	10%	8%	8%
	(281)	(321)	(337)	(289)	(291)	(274)	(207)	(198)	(231)	(2,429)	(1,230)
- Overall direction the City is taking											
Very Good	12%	16%	11%	8%	8%	12%	14%	10%	10%	11%	10%
Good	45%	47%	42%	43%	39%	41%	43%	38%	36%	42%	38%
Neutral	30%	27%	29%	32%	34%	30%	25%	31%	29%	30%	29%
Bad	5%	4%	10%	7%	6%	7%	7%	8%	10%	7%	11%
Very Bad	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	5%
Don't Know	5%	5%	6%	9%	11%	8%	8%	11%	13%	8%	7%
	(281)	(321)	(336)	(288)	(293)	(271)	(207)	(198)	(230)	(2,425)	(1,227)
- Welcoming citizen involvement											
Very Good	11%	15%	6%	6%	6%	10%	13%	9%	12%	9%	8%
Good	35%	35%	34%	34%	37%	32%	36%	37%	25%	34%	31%
Neutral	31%	30%	35%	34%	31%	35%	28%	26%	38%	32%	34%
Bad	4%	4%	9%	5%	7%	5%	8%	8%	7%	6%	8%
Very Bad	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%
Don't Know	16%	14%	15%	19%	17%	16%	14%	18%	15%	16%	16%
	(280)	(321)	(334)	(288)	(288)	(271)	(207)	(197)	(228)	(2,414)	(1,221)
What is your sex?											
Male	39%	41%	45%	45%	33%	36%	36%	31%	34%	38%	40%
Female	61%	59%	55%	55%	67%	64%	64%	69%	66%	62%	60%
	(280)	(325)	(329)	(285)	(296)	(274)	(207)	(202)	(235)	(2,433)	(1,222)
What is your age?											
Under 20	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%	0%
20-29	9%	8%	3%	6%	4%	9%	12%	6%	5%	7%	8%
30-44	16%	19%	12%	19%	14%	18%	16%	12%	13%	15%	18%
45-59	24%	26%	27%	29%	26%	26%	33%	32%	33%	28%	27%
60-74	33%	29%	36%	31%	37%	27%	28%	37%	37%	33%	30%
Over 74	18%	19%	21%	15%	18%	19%	11%	13%	13%	17%	17%
	(283)	(325)	(335)	(288)	(299)	(274)	(209)	(202)	(237)	0% 29% (2,402) 11% 33% 23% 2% 0% 31% (2,362) 7% 35% 32% 14% 5% 8% (2,429) 11% 42% 30% 7% 2% 8% (2,425) 9% 34% 32% 6% 2% 16% (2,414) 38% 62% (2,433) 0% 7% 15% 28% 33% 17%	(1,240)

			•	City C	ouncil [District	•	•	-		•
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	2013 City Total	2012 City Total
How many years have you lived in Chattanooga?											
Less than 5	13%	12%	9%	15%	4%	15%	13%	6%	6%	10%	12%
5-10 years	11%	10%	7%	18%	7%	9%	12%	6%	8%	10%	10%
11-20 years	10%	14%	10%	11%	8%	11%	10%	12%	9%	11%	10%
More than 20 years	66%	63%	74%	56%	81%	65%	66%	75%	77%	69%	68%
	(285)	(328)	(339)	(288)	(299)	(275)	(207)	(203)	(235)	(2,459)	(1,242)
Do you own your home, rent your home, or live with someone (rent-free)?											
Own	79%	83%	80%	79%	82%	66%	60%	62%	69%	75%	76%
Rent	20%	16%	19%	19%	17%	33%	36%	37%	30%	24%	23%
Live with Someone (rent-free)	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	(283)	(324)	(335)	(287)	(296)	(276)	(206)	(202)	(232)	(2,441)	(1,243)
In the past 12 months, what was your (individual) pretax income?											
No income	5%	3%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	6%	6%	4%	4%
Less than \$20,000	15%	13%	11%	7%	22%	18%	35%	40%	32%	20%	20%
\$20,000 - \$34,999	22%	18%	24%	21%	29%	25%	29%	27%	24%	24%	25%
\$35,000 - \$74,999	36%	36%	37%	41%	36%	35%	22%	18%	28%	33%	32%
\$75,000 - \$149,999	18%	18%	20%	19%	7%	14%	9%	6%	6%	14%	14%
\$150,000 or more	4%	11%	5%	8%	1%	4%	2%	3%	4%	5%	5%
Which of these is closest to describing your ethnic background?	(258)	(290)	(301)	(264)	(268)	(252)	(193)	(189)	(210)	(2,225)	(1,135)
Caucasian/White	96%	95%	89%	84%	42%	78%	63%	31%	43%	72%	72%
African-American/ Black	2%	3%	7%	7%	54%	15%	33%	65%	54%	24%	23%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1%	2%	1%	5%	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%
Native American/Indian	-	-	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Hispanic/Latino	0%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Other	1%	0%	1%	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	(285)	(323)	(332)	(285)	(293)	(273)	(203)	(202)	(231)	(2,427)	(1,218)
How much education have you completed?											
Elementary	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	0%	2%	-	1%	1%	0%
Some high school	4%	2%	2%	3%	8%	4%	14%	13%	8%	6%	5%
High school graduate	20%	14%	16%	15%	20%	15%	19%	26%	20%	18%	18%
Some college	25%	27%	29%	28%	35%	31%	23%	27%	33%	29%	27%
College grad or more	50%	56%	52%	55%	36%	49%	41%	34%	38%	47%	49%
	(284)	(327)	(339)	(288)	(298)	(274)	(207)	(201)	(234)	(2,452)	(1,237)
Response Rates	27%	31%	32%	28%	28%	27%	21%	20%	23%	26%	24%
	±5.68	±5.3	±5.2	±5.63	±5.55	±5.77	±6.67	±6.75	±6.26		±2.74

NOTES:

- 1. Percents may not add to 100 due to rounding.
- 2. Council district totals may not add to City total.
- $3. \, \text{In 2013, two questions were added to the survey about visiting and experience at Memorial Auditorium and the Tivoli.}$

City of Chattanooga Council Districts

In December 2011, City Council adopted new district boundaries based on 2010 Census results.

The current Council District boundaries were effective as of March 2013.

Chip Henderson, District 1*
Jerry Mitchell, District 2
Ken Smith, District 3
Larry Grohn, District 4
Russell Gilbert, District 5
Carol Berz, District 6
Chris Anderson, District 7
Moses Freeman, District 8
Yusuf Hakeem, District 9**

**Chairman *Vice-Chairman



2013 Chattanooga Community Survey

For	each question, mark with an X the one box that be	st fits your opinion	with a black or b	lue pen, if possit	ole.		
Q1	Overall, how do you rate the quality of life in Cha	attanooga: Very Good	Good	Neutral	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	Chattanooga as a place to live	Vary Good	Good		Dau	Voly Bau	
	Your neighborhood as a place to live			Ħ		Ħ	Ħ
	Chattanooga as a place to work					П	
	Chattanooga as a place to raise children	П	ñ	T T	Ē	Ħ	П
	Chattanooga as a place to retire						
02	How safe would you feel walking alone during th	ne dav:					
33		Very Safe	Safe	Neutral	Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Don't Know
	In your neighborhood?	Voly Gale					
	In the park closest to you?	F	n	T		Ħ	F
	Downtown?			Ī		0.0	Ī
Q3	How safe would you feel walking alone at night:	_			_		
45	now sale would you leet warking above at ingile.		Costs	No. for	Nesete	Very	Daniel Manuel
	processors of a state of	Very Safe	Safe	Neutral	Unsafe	Unsafe	Don't Know
	In your neighborhood?	H		H			H
	In the park closest to you?	H		H			H
	Downtown?		EACH	(III)	E36	1 222	(9 1 -16
Q4	Did anyone break into, or burglarize, your home	during the last 12 r	months?	98		No	
	If yes, was it reported to the police?		Ye	95		No	
Q5	Did anyone break into, or attempt to break into, a your household during the last 12 months?	any vehicles belon		95		No	
	If yes, was it reported to the police?		Ye	3S		No	
Q6	Did you call 9-1-1 for an emergency during the la	st 12 months?	Ye	98		No	
	If yes, how do you rate the services you	received on the ph	one from the 9-1	-1 calltaker?			
			Neutral		ad	Very B	Bad
Q7	How do you rate police services on the following	Very Good	Good	Neutral	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	Overall quality of services?	П	55		80		
	Conduct of police officers?	i Ti					П
	Speed of emergency police response?						
Q8	Did you use fire or emergency medical services	during the past 12	months? Ye	98		No	
	If yes, how do you rate the services you	received on the fol			10:201		Don't
		Very Goo	d Good	Neutral	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know
	Overall quality of services?		<u> </u>	Ц			
	Speed of emergency response?	g - 1 ,	a . 		500	50.05	50.0
Q9	How do you rate your satisfaction with the follow						
		Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Neutral	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
	Garbage Pick-up?				83	6.2	
	Yard-waste Pick-up?		124			12.5	
	Curbside Recycling?						
	Water Quality of Lakes and Streams?						
	Storm Drainage?		56			100	
	Sewers?						
	The second secon			10.1			

Survey Form

Q10	in the past 12 months, how many times did you:									
	Visit any city park?	Dally	Weekly	Monthly	A Few Times	Never	Don't Know			
	Visit a city park near your home?					\Box	500			
Q11	How do you rate the quality of the parks near your home in the following categories: Very Good Good Neutral Bad Very Bad Don't									
	Well-maintained landscaping?									
	Well-maintained facilities?									
	Well-maintained playgrounds?									
Q12	In the past 12 months, did anyone in your househol Chattanooga Parks and Recreation activity?	shold participate in a Yes								
Q13	How satisfied are you with the City's recreation programs, classes and events held at community centers, pools, or sports facilities: Very Somewhat Very									
	Affordability?	Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Don't Know			
	Variety?									
	Quality of instruction, coaching, leadership, etc?									
Q14	How do you rate traffic flow (congestion) on major s	treets and thorough	inforce evolut	ing fragways:	_					
Q14	now do you have traine from (congestion) on major o	Good	Neutral	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know				
	During peak hours, that is 7-9am and 3:30-6pm?						300			
	During off-peak traffic hours?									
Q15	How do you rate City streets on:	SAN TAN PROPERTY.		20000000	G.73	10233340001	SECOND SECOND			
	nerote con	Very Good	Good	Neutral	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know			
	Smoothness? Cleanliness?	Ħ	H	H	H	H	222			
	Speeding vehicles?		H	Ħ	Н	Ħ	200			
	Safety of pedestrians?	面	Ħ	Ħ		Ħ				
	Safety of picyclists?	П	П	П	Ħ	Ħ	П			
046		alad in ar near year	31		100001	15 - 37	550.58			
Q16	Has a new commercial development been completed in or near your neighborhood in the last 12 months? Yes No									
	If yes, how do you rate it on the following:						Don't			
		Very Good	Good	Neutral	Bad	Very Bad	Know			
	Attractiveness?									
	improvement to your neighborhood as a pla live?	ace to								
Q17	Has a new residential development been complet neighborhood in the last 12 months?	ed in or near your	Ye	5		No				
	If yes, how do you rate it on the following:									
		Very Good	Good	Neutral	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know			
	Attractiveness? Improvement to your neighborhood as a plative?									
Q18	How do you rate your neighborhood on:	Very Good	Good	Neutral	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know			
	Housing affordability?	Very Guou				O D	Don't Know			
	Physical condition of housing?			Ħ		П				
	Closeness of parks or open spaces?		Ī			百				
	Walking distance to public transit?									
	Access to shopping and other services?									
	On-street parking?									
	Street lighting?									
	Availability of sidewalks?									

Q19	How do you rate Chattanooga as a place to do busine Very Good	Neutral	Bad	Very Bad	Don't Know					
	Do you own a business in Chattanooga?	G110142101101111111111111111111111111111	Page	П.,						
	7020 N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Yes								
	If yes, how many employees does your busin Self 1	ess employ? 2-10	11-50	51-150	151+					
Q20	In the last 12 months, about how many times, if ever,	have you or other hou	sehold members particip	cated in the following activit						
		Never On	ice or Twice 3 to 5	Times 6 to 10 Times	More than 10 Times					
	Called 3-1-1 about public services									
	Ridden a local bus (CARTA)									
	Visited a Chattanooga Public Library branch									
	Attended an event at Memorial Auditorium or Twoli									
	Used/visited McKamey Animal Center									
	Visted the Chattanooga.gov website Been involved in a community project or attended a public meeting									
Q21	Overall, how do you rate the quality of each of the fol									
		/ery Good Goo	od Neutral	Bad Very E	ad Don't Know					
	3-1-1	H	;		i H					
	Bus services (CARTA)	H	H H	H H	i H					
	Experience at Memorial Auditorium and/or Tivoli	H	i	H F	i H					
	Animal control (McKamey)	H F	i	H H	i H					
	Public libraries	H F	i i	H H	i H					
	Chattanooga.gov Website									
Q22	Overall, how to you rate the following aspects of City \	government performa /ery Good Goo		Bad Very B	ad Don't Know					
	Value of services for City taxes paid									
	Overall direction the City is taking									
	Welcoming citizen involvement									
Your s	survey is anonymous. The following questions are inclu	ided only to help us kn	ow how well our results	represent all residents.						
	What is your sex?		Male	Female						
	What is your age?									
	Under 20 20-29	30-44	45-59	60-74	Over 74					
	How many years have you lived in Chattanooga?	44704			0390 03—30					
	Less than 5 5-10 yea	rs	11-20 years	More tha	an 20 years					
	Do you own your home, rent your home, or live with someone (rent-free)?									
	Own.	Rent		Live with Someone (I	ent-free)					
	In the past 12 months, what was your (individual) pre-				1000000					
	No income Less than \$20,000	\$20,000 - \$34,999	\$35,000 - \$74,999	\$75,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 or more					
	Which of these is closest to describing your ethnic ba	ckground?								
	Caucasian African- American/ Black	Asian or Pacific Islander	Native American /indian	/Latino	Other					
	How much education have you completed?									
	Some high school	High sch graduate			college grad or nore					
End of survey - THANK YOU VERY MUCH!										
7	p Code		Council District	Ē	7					
_			- Common Common	Ĺ	_					